

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No 501 of 1987

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE and

MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

(No. 1 to 5 NO)

KANTILAL GOVINDBhai SHAH

Versus

POLICE STATION OFFICER,RAPAR

Appearance:

MR JM PANCHAL for Petitioner

MR. KAMAL MEHTA, LD.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE and

MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 01/08/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Per: S.D. Dave, J :

The contemner Mr. Vaghela who at present works as P.I. Dhoraji, has tendered the affidavit today, dated 1st August, 1997, tendering unconditional apology. He

has said in the affidavit that, he feels very sorry for all what has happened and that, he had no any intention whatsoever to deliberately disobey the orders of this Court. As it is clear from the affidavit, Mr. Vaghela is prepared to pay an amount of Rs.3,500-00 to the petitioner by way of compensation. The affidavit of Mr. Vaghela is taken on record.

The petitioner Kantilal Govindlal Shah is present before us in person in company of Manilal Hirachand Koradiya, who is really the aggrieved party. Mr. Koradiya says that, he has already received the cheque as described with details in the affidavit.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we accept the unconditional apology being tendered by the Respondent. Proceedings are disposed of. Rule shall stand discharged.

/vgn.